

Flexible Sigmoidoscopy: Supplement to 2nd Watching Brief

This document provides results from the follow-up findings of the SCORE trial and is an addendum to the 2nd Watching Brief (WB) on flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS). The first WB examined colorectal cancer (CRC) mortality results from the NORCCAP trial, which was published in June 2009. The second WB examined CRC mortality results from the U.K FS trial, which was published online in April 2010.

This supplement to the second WB provides information that can be used by provincial cancer agencies to put the trial results into context. The Expert Panel will continue to monitor and review trial evidence as it becomes available.

Material appearing in this report may be reproduced or copied without permission; however, the following citation to indicate the source must be used: Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Expert Panel on Flexible Sigmoidoscopy. Flexible sigmoidoscopy watching brief: Expert Panel report. Flexible sigmoidoscopy: Supplement to 2nd Watching Brief. Toronto: Canadian Partnership Against Cancer; 2011.

Summary Statement of the Panel

New evidence from the SCORE trial supports one-time FS screening for CRC in average risk individuals 55-64 years of age. This study reported CRC incidence and mortality according to both intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses. In the intention-to-treat analysis, CRC incidence and mortality were reduced by 18% and 22%, respectively. The reduction in CRC mortality was not statistically significant. However, in the per-protocol analysis, CRC incidence and mortality were statistically significantly reduced by 31% and 38%, respectively.

These results, together with those of the U.K. FS trial highlight the need to consider the role of FS in organized CRC screening programs in Canada.

Published Randomized Controlled Trials of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy

Table 1: Mortality results for the SCORE¹, U.K.² and NORCCAP³ Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Trials

Mortality Results	Intervention vs. control group (intent-to-treat analysis), hazard ratio (95% CI)	Screening vs. non-screening* (per protocol analysis), hazard ratio (95% CI)			
ALL CRC MORTALITY					
SCORE	0.78 (0.56-1.08)	0.62 (0.40-0.96)			
NORCCAP†	0.73 (0.47-1.13)	0.41 (0.21-0.82)‡			
U.K.	0.69 (0.59-0.82)	0.57 (0.45-0.72)			
RECTOSIGMOID CANCER MORTALITY					
NORCCAP†	0.63 (0.34-1.18)	0.24 (0.08-0.76)‡			
U.K.	Not reported	Not reported			



Mortality Results	Intervention vs. control group (intent-to-treat analysis), hazard ratio (95% CI)	Screening vs. non-screening* (per protocol analysis), hazard ratio (95% CI)				
	ALL-CAUSE MORTALITY					
SCORE	Hazard ratio not reported; only rates (660.26/100,000 person-years in control vs. 640.96/100,000 in intervention group)	-				
NORCCAP†	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	Not reported				
U.K.	0.97 (0.94-1.00)	0.95 (0.91-1.00)				

^{*}Sub-analysis of the effect of screening in participants.

Table 2: Key Features of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Randomized Controlled Trials

FEATURES	NORCCAP ³	U.K. FS ^{2,4}	SCORE ⁵	PLCO ⁶	
STUDY					
Country	Norway	U.K.	Italy	U.S.	
Lead investigator	Hoff, G.	Atkin, W.S.	Segnan, N.	Weissfeld, J.	
Recruitment period	1999-2000	1996-1999	1995-1999	1993-2001	
	POPULATION				
Number randomized	55,736	170,432	34,272	154,000	
Setting	2 areas: 1 city, 1 country	14 centres	6 trial centres: Arezzo, Biella, Genoa, Milan, Rimini, Turin	10 cities	
Sources	Population registry	General practice registry	1. General practice patient registry (Arezzo, Rimini, Turin) 2. Volunteer practices (Milan) 3. Health services registry (Biella, Genoa)	Public, commercial, screening centre mailing lists	
Age (years)	55-64	55-64	55-64	55-74	

[†]Results are for FS and FS + FIT groups combined.

[‡]Note that the NORCCAP screening vs. non-screening analysis does not adjust for self-selection bias;

therefore, caution is advised when interpreting these results.



FEATURES	NORCCAP ³	U.K. FS ^{2,4}	SCORE ⁵	PLCO6
STUDY GROUPS				
Randomization	Before invitation	After invitation	After invitation	After invitation
Study arms	 FS FS & FIT No screening 	1. FS 2. No screening	1. FS 2. No screening	FS No screening
	POWER	CALCULATION ASSUM	PTIONS	
Screening arm(s) (n)	7,000 FS 7,000 FS & FIT	65,000	20,000	74,000
Control arm (n)	42,000	130,000	20,000	74,000
Compliance (%)	70	55 (5% contamination in control arm)	70	85
CRC incidence reduction (intent to treat) (%)	30	20 between study arms, 40 in each subgroup: < 60 years, ≥ 60 years	21	NA
CRC mortality reduction (intent to treat) (%)	NA	20 between study arms, 40 in each subgroup: < 60 years, ≥ 60 years	NA	20
Follow-up (incidence) (years)	5	10	6	NA
Follow-up (mortality) (years)	5	15	11	10
Significance level (%)	5 (two-sided)	5 (two-sided)	5 (one-sided)	5 (one-sided)
Power (%)	90	90	80	90
UPTAKE				
Interested in screening (invited)* (%)	NA	55	16	NA
Attended screening (randomized)† (%)	67	71	58	83
Attended screening (invited)‡ (%)	67	39	9	NA
	SIGMOIDOSCOPY			



FEATURES	NORCCAP ³	U.K. FS ^{2,4}	SCORE ⁵	PLCO ⁶
Instrument	140 cm colonoscope	60 cm videoscope	4 centres: 140 cm colonscope 1 centre: "sigmoidoscope"	60 cm flexible sigmoidoscope
Endoscopist	Not given	Registrar-level gastroenterologists & surgeon	Gastroenterologists	Physicians, nurse practitioners'
Screen frequency	Once only	Once only	Once only	Baseline, year 5
Criteria for colonoscopy	 Any polyp ≥ 10 mm Any neoplasia 	 Any polyp ≥ 10 mm ≥ 3 adenomas Any polyp with villous component or severe dyplasia Any cancer ≥ 20 hyperplastic polyps above distal rectum 	Any polyp ≥ 5 mm 1. Any polyp + inadequate bowel prep 2. ≥ 3 adenomas 3. Any polyp with villous component ≥ 20 or severe dyplasia 4. Any cancer 5. ≥ 5 hyperplastic polyps above distal rectum	Any polypoid lesion or mass
Proportion requiring colonoscopy (%)	20.4	5.2	5.3	23.4

FS = flexible sigmoidoscopy; FIT = immunochemical fecal occult blood test

^{*}Proportion of individuals interested in screening from those with a delivered invitation.

[†]Proportion of those with a delivered invitation who were interested in screening and attended for FS.

[‡]Proportion of those with a delivered invitation who were interested in screening and attended for FS (Product of Interested in Screening and Attended Screening – Randomized).



Table 3: Proportion of Individuals in whom Colorectal Adenoma or CRC were Detected by FS or colonoscopy Screening

Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Colonoscopy NORCCAP³ NORCCAP³ Regula¹⁰ Lieberman⁷ Imperiale⁸ Schoenfeld⁹ **SCORE**⁵ PLCO6 **Key Features** (total (FS only U.K. FS² 2000 2000 2005 2006 cohort) cohort) Country Norway Norway U.K. Italy U.S. U.S. U.S. U.S. Poland Cross-Cross-Cohort **RCT RCT RCT RCT RCT Study Design** sectional Cohort study sectiona study study I study **RESULTS** 83.0 83.0 75.0 82.0 66.0 61.0 78.0 80.0 NR No polyps (%) Any adenoma 17.0 NR NR 31.0 37.0 22.0 20.0 13.0 NR (%) Distal 6.0 NR NR 12.0 10.0 23.0 23.0 8.0 NR adenoma (%) Any advanced NR NR NR NR NR11.0 5.0 5.0 6.0 lesion (%) Distal advanced NR NR NR NR NR 7.0 3.0 NR NR lesion (%) **Proximal** NR NR NR 3.0 NR advanced NR NR 5.0 NR lesion (%) Any cancer 0.3 0.3 NR 0.5 0.4 1.0 0.6 0.1 8.0 (%) Distal cancer NR NR 0.3 0.5 0.2 0.6 0.3 NR NR (%) **Proximal** NR NR NR NR NR 0.4 0.4 NR NR cancer (%)

RCT = Randomized Control Trial

NR = Not Reported



References

¹ Nereo Segnan, Paola Armaroli, Luigina Bonelli, Mauro Risio, Stefania Sciallero, Marco Zappa, et al. Once-Only Sigmoidoscopy in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Follow-up Findings of the Italian Randomized Controlled Trial—SCORE. JNCI. Published online August 18, 2011. DOI:10.1093/jnci/djr284

- ⁶ Weissfeld JL, Schoen RE, Pinsky PF, Bresalier RS, Church T, Yurgalevitch S, et al. Flexible sigmoidoscopy in the PLCO cancer screening trial: results from the baseline screening examination of a randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:989–97.
- ⁷ Lieberman DA, Weiss DG, Bond JH, Ahnen DJ, Garewal H, Chejfec G. Use of colonoscopy to screen asymptomatic adults for colorectal cancer. Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group 380. N Engl J Med 2000;343:162–8.
- ⁸ Imperiale TF, Wagner DR, Lin CY, Larkin GN, Rogge JD, Ransohoff DF. Risk of advanced proximal neoplasms in asymptomatic adults according to the distal colorectal findings. N Engl J Med 2000;343:169–74.
- ⁹ Schoenfeld P, Cash B, Flood A, Dobhan R, Eastone J, Coyle W, et al. Colonoscopic screening of averagerisk women for colorectal neoplasia. N Engl J Med 2005 May 19;352(20):2061–8.
- ¹⁰ Regula J, Rupinski M, Kraszewska E, Polkowski M, Pachlewski J, Orlowska J, et al. Colonoscopy in colorectal-cancer screening for detection of advanced neoplasia. N Engl J Med 2006;355(18):1863–72.

² Atkin WS, Edwards R, Kralj-Hans I, Wooldrage K, Hart AR, Northover JMA, Parkin DM, Wardle J, Duffy SW, Cuzick J. Once-only flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in prevention of colorectal cancer: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Lancet. Published online April 28, 2010. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60551-X

³ Hoff G, Grotmol T, Skovlund E, Bretthauer M. Risk of colorectal cancer seven years after flexible sigmoidoscopy screening; randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2009;338:b1846.

⁴ Atkin WS, Edwards R, Wardle J, Northover JM, Sutton S, Hart AR, et al. Design of a multicentre randomised trial to evaluate flexible sigmoidoscopy in colorectal cancer screening. J Med Screen 2001;8(3):137–44.

⁵ Segnan N, Senore C, Andreoni B, Aste H, Bonelli L, Crosta C, et al. Baseline findings of the Italian multicenter randomized controlled trial of "once-only sigmoidoscopy" – SCORE. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:1763–72.